Perverse Sheaves I Talk 10 (First Half)

Yining Chen

June 2024

Abstract

These notes about perverse *t*-structures are written for the talk 10 of the MSc. Seminar on perverse sheaves held by Dr. Stefan Dawydiak in the University of Bonn and the seminar page is in https://www.math.uni-bonn.de/people/dawydiak/perverse.html.

Contents

1 Perverse *t***-Structures**

1 Perverse *t*-Structures

In these notes, we always suppose our base field $k = \mathbb{C}$ is the field of complex numbers.

Let X be a variety over k. Recall D(X,k) or simply D(X) is the *derived category of sheaves of k-vector* spaces on X^{an} where X^{an} means it's with the analytic topology. $D_c^b(X) \subseteq D(X)$ is the full subcategory consisting of bounded complexes \mathcal{F} whose cohomological sheaves $H^i(\mathcal{F})$ are *constructible* for all *i*. Next we define two full subcategories of $D_c^b(X)$.

Definition 1.1. The full subcategory ${}^{p}D_{c}^{\leq 0}(X)$ of $D_{c}^{b}(X)$ consists of bounded constructible complex $\mathcal{F} \in D_{c}^{b}(X)$ such that

$$\dim \operatorname{supp} H^i(\mathcal{F}) \le -i$$

for all *i*.

And on the other hand we want to define ${}^{p}D_{c}^{\geq 0}(X)$, so that we will obtain

$$\operatorname{Perv}(X) := {}^{p}D_{c}^{\leq 0}(X) \cap {}^{p}D_{c}^{\geq 0}(X)$$

the category of perverse sheaves.

Verdier Duality For a variety $p_X : X \to \text{pt}$ over k, the *dualizing complex* is $\omega_X = p_X^! \underline{k}_{\text{pt}}$ and from [1, Cor. 2.2.10] we see if X is smooth of dimension n, then $\omega_X \simeq k_X[2n]$ is centered at degree -2n.

The Verdier duality functor is defined to be

 $\mathbb{D}: D^b_c(X)^{op} \to D^b_c(X), \ \mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \omega_X)$

and the evaluation map $\mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{F}))$ is an equivalence.

Definition 1.2. The full subcategory ${}^{p}D_{c}^{\geq 0}(X)$ of $D_{c}^{b}(X)$ consists of bounded constructible complex $\mathcal{F} \in D_{c}^{b}(X)$ such that

 $\dim \operatorname{supp} H^i(\mathbb{D}\mathcal{F}) \le -i$

for all *i*. This means $\mathcal{F} \in {}^{p}D_{c}^{\geq 0}(X)$ if and only if $\mathbb{D}\mathcal{F} \in {}^{p}D_{c}^{\leq 0}(X)$.

1

Definition 1.3. The category of *perverse sheaves* is defined to be $Perv(X) := {}^{p}D_{c}^{\leq 0}(X) \cap {}^{p}D_{c}^{\geq 0}(X)$.

Remark 1.4. The equivalence $\mathbb{DDF} \simeq \mathcal{F}$ implies the Verdier duality functor exchanges ${}^{p}D_{c}^{\leq 0}(X)$ and ${}^{p}D_{c}^{\geq 0}(X)$, so it preserves $\operatorname{Perv}(X)$.

Remark 1.5 (bounded *t*-structure). We will see later that the pair $({}^{p}D_{c}^{\leq 0}(X), {}^{p}D_{c}^{\geq 0}(X))$ defines a bounded *t*-structure in the triangulated category $D_{c}^{b}(X)^{1}$ in the sense of last talk and it's called the *perverse t-structure*.

Note that to see the perverse *t*-structure is *bounded* we need to show for any $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X)$ there exists a positive integer n > 0 such that

$$\mathcal{F} \in {}^pD_c^{\leq n}(X) := {}^pD_c^{\leq 0}(X)[-n] \text{ and } \mathcal{F} \in {}^pD_c^{\geq -n}(X) := {}^pD_c^{\geq 0}(X)[n]$$

 $\mathcal{F} \in {}^{p}D_{c}^{\leq n}(X)$ is equivalent to $\dim \operatorname{supp}H^{i+n}(\mathcal{F}) \leq -i$. Since \mathcal{F} is a bounded complex, there is an integer m > 0 such that for all $i \geq m$, $H^{i}(\mathcal{F}) = 0$. Let $m' = \operatorname{Max}(\dim \operatorname{supp}H^{i}(\mathcal{F}))$. Then for all $n \geq m + m'$, we will have $\mathcal{F} \in {}^{p}D_{c}^{\leq n}(X)$. A similar argument can be applied to $\mathbb{D}\mathcal{F}$. So this proves the perverse *t*-structure is bounded.

Notation 1.6. From [1, Thm. A.7.8], for a *t*-structure in a triangulated category, its heart is an abelian category. Therefore Perv(X) is actually an abelian category. And we have truncation functors

$$p_{\tau}^{\leq n}: D_{c}^{b}(X) \to {}^{p}D_{c}^{\leq n}(X) = {}^{p}D_{c}^{\leq 0}(X)[-n], \quad p_{\tau}^{\geq n}: D_{c}^{b}(X) \to {}^{p}D_{c}^{\geq n}(X) = {}^{p}D_{c}^{\geq 0}(X)[-n]$$

where $p_{\tau}^{\leq n}$ is right adjoint to ${}^{p}D_{c}^{\leq n}(X) \hookrightarrow D_{c}^{b}(X)$ and $p_{\tau}^{\geq n}$ is left adjoint to ${}^{p}D_{c}^{\geq n}(X) \hookrightarrow D_{c}^{b}(X)$.

Also for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have the cohomology functor

$${}^{p}H^{n}: D^{b}_{c}(X) \to \operatorname{Perv}(X), \ \mathcal{F} \mapsto p^{\leq 0}_{\overline{\tau}} p^{\geq 0}_{\overline{\tau}}(\mathcal{F}[n])$$

Good Stratifications

Definition 1.7 (good stratification). Let *X* be a variety with a stratification $(X_s)_{s \in \mathscr{I}}$ and for every $s \in \mathscr{I}$, $j_s : X_s \hookrightarrow X$ is the inclution map. This stratification is called a *good stratification* if for every $s \in \mathscr{I}$ and every local system of finite type \mathcal{L} on X_s , we have $j_{s*}\mathcal{L} \in D^b_{\mathscr{I}}(X)$.

Remark 1.8. Every stratification can be refined to be a good one.

Example 1.9 (Normal Crossings Stratification). A Weil divisor $D = \sum_i D_i \subseteq X$ on a smooth variety X of dimension *n* is a *simple normal crossing* if every component D_i is smooth and for every point $p \in X$ a local equation of D is $x_1 \cdots x_r$ for independent local parameters $x_i \in \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ with $r \leq n$.

Let $Z \subseteq X$ be a simple normal crossing in a smooth variety X of dimension n with irreducible components Z_1, \dots, Z_k . Then we can partition X into subvarieties labelled by subsets $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that

$$X_J := \{x \in X | x \in Z_j \text{ if and only if } j \in J\} = \{x \in X | I(x) = J\}$$

where $I(x) = \{i | x \in Z_i\}$. For example if $J = \{1\}$, then $X_J = Z_1 \setminus (Z_2 \cup \cdots \cup Z_k)$. For a general subset J, we have $X_J = (\bigcap_{j \in J} Z_j) \setminus (\bigcup_{i \notin J} Z_i)$. And by definition $X_{\emptyset} = X \setminus Z$. The closure of X_J will be $Z_J := \bigcap_{j \in J} Z_j$.

Non-empty subsets in $(X_J)_{J \subseteq \{1,\dots,k\}}$ gives a stratification of X, which is called the *normal crossings stratification*. From [1, Lem. 2.4.2], this stratification is good.

For a good stratification $(X_s)_{s \in \mathscr{I}}$, the induced *t*-structure on $D^b_{\mathscr{I}}(X)$ is given by

$${}^{p}D^{\leq 0}_{\mathscr{I}}(X) := {}^{p}D^{\leq 0}_{c}(X) \cap D^{b}_{\mathscr{I}}(X)$$
$${}^{p}D^{\geq 0}_{\mathscr{I}}(X) := {}^{p}D^{\geq 0}_{c}(X) \cap D^{b}_{\mathscr{I}}(X)$$

The heart is denoted by $\operatorname{Perv}_{\mathscr{I}}(X) = {}^{p}D_{\mathscr{I}}^{\leq 0}(X) \cap {}^{p}D_{\mathscr{I}}^{\geq 0}(X).$

¹ [1, Thm. 3.1.9]

Remark 1.10. [1, Ex. 2.8.2] says if \mathscr{I} is a good stratification, the Verdier duality functor has a restriction $\mathbb{D}: D^b_{\mathscr{I}}(X)^{op} \to D^b_{\mathscr{I}}(X)$. So in this case \mathbb{D} preserves $\operatorname{Perv}_{\mathscr{I}}(X)$.

Theorem 1.11. Let X be a variety over k.

- The perverse t-structure $({}^{p}D_{c}^{\leq 0}(X), {}^{p}D_{c}^{\geq 0}(X))$ is a t-structure in the triangulated category $D_{c}^{b}(X)$.
- Moreover if $(X_s)_{s \in \mathscr{I}}$ is a good stratification for X, then $({}^pD_{\mathscr{I}}^{\leq 0}(X), {}^pD_{\mathscr{I}}^{\geq 0}(X))$ gives a t-structure in $D_{\mathscr{I}}^b(X)$.

Sketch of the proof. We need to check three conditions for $({}^{p}D_{c}^{\leq 0}(X), {}^{p}D_{c}^{\geq 0}(X))$ to be a *t*-structure in $D_{c}^{b}(X)$.

(1).
$${}^{p}D_{c}^{\leq -1}(X) \subseteq {}^{p}D_{c}^{\leq 0}(X) \text{ and } {}^{p}D_{c}^{\geq 0}(X) \subseteq {}^{p}D_{c}^{\geq -1}(X).$$

- (2). If $\mathcal{F} \in {}^{p}D_{c}^{\leq -1}(X)$ and $\mathcal{G} \in {}^{p}D_{c}^{\geq 0}(X)$, then $\operatorname{Hom}_{D_{c}^{b}(X)}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) = 0$.
- (3.) For any $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X)$, there is a distinguished triangle

$$\mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$$

with $\mathcal{H} \in {}^pD_c^{\leq -1}(X)$ and $\mathcal{G} \in {}^pD_c^{\geq 0}(X)$.

The first condition is just by definition, but note that $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{F}[-1]) = (\mathbb{D}\mathcal{F})[1]$. Conditions of (2) and (3) will be checked in the second half part of this talk.

Shifted Local Systems Now let's discuss relations between perverse sheaves and local systems.

If we choose the stratification $(Xs)_{s \in \mathscr{I}}$ to be the trivial stratification i.e. $X_s = X$, then $D^b_{\mathscr{I}}(X)$ will be $D^b_{locf}(X)$ the derived category consisting of complexes whose cohomologies are local systems of finite type.

Proposition 1.12. Let X be a smooth connected variety of dimension n. Then we have

$${}^{p}D_{\text{locf}}^{\leq 0}(X) = D_{\text{locf}}^{\leq -n}(X)$$

 ${}^{p}D_{\text{locf}}^{\geq 0}(X) = D_{\text{locf}}^{\geq -n}(X)$

So that if we let \mathscr{I} be the trivial stratification on X, then

$$\operatorname{Perv}_{\mathscr{I}}(X) = \operatorname{Loc}^{\operatorname{ft}}(X)[n]$$

In particular, if X = pt, $\text{Perv}_{\mathscr{I}}(\text{pt}) = \text{Vect}_k^{ft}$.

In this case, objects in $Loc^{ft}(X)[n]$ are called *shifted local systems*.

Proof. At first it's easy to see $D_{\text{locf}}^{\leq -n}(X) \subseteq {}^{p}D_{\text{locf}}^{\leq 0}(X)$ because $\text{supp}H^{i}(\mathcal{F})$ has at most dimension n. For the other side, it's clear for an object $\mathcal{F} \in D_{\text{locf}}^{b}(X)$, its cohomology sheaf $H^{i}(\mathcal{F})$, if it's non-zero, is a local system of finite type which means dim $\text{supp}H^{i}(\mathcal{F}) = \dim X = n$ because X is connected. So if $\mathcal{F} \in {}^{p}D_{\text{locf}}^{\leq 0}(X)$, dim $\text{supp}H^{i}(\mathcal{F}) \leq -i$ and then when $H^{i}(\mathcal{F}) \neq 0$, $n \leq -i \Leftrightarrow i \leq -n$. This proves ${}^{p}D_{\text{locf}}^{\leq 0}(X) = D_{\text{locf}}^{\leq -n}(X)$.

As for ${}^{p}D_{\text{locf}}^{\geq 0}(X) = D_{\text{locf}}^{\geq -n}(X)$, firstly notice that

$$\mathcal{F} \in D^{\geq -n}_{\mathrm{locf}}(X)$$
 iff $\mathcal{F}_x \in D^{\geq -n}(\mathrm{Vect}_k)$ for all $x \in X$

Let $i_x : x \hookrightarrow X$ be the embedding. Then $\mathcal{F}_x = i_x^* \mathcal{F}$. The *t*-exactness of i_x^* implies $H^i(i_x^* \mathcal{F}) \simeq i_x^* H^i(\mathcal{F})$. So if $H^i(\mathcal{F}) = 0$, then $H^i(\mathcal{F}_x) = 0$. Conversely if $H^i(\mathcal{F}) \neq 0$, since $H^i(\mathcal{F})$ is a local system, there will exist some $x \in X$ such that $i_x^* H^i(\mathcal{F}) \neq 0$. This proves the equivalence above.

Next for $\mathcal{F} \in D^b_c(X)$, observe

$$\operatorname{supp} H^{j}(\mathbb{D}\mathcal{F}) = \{x \in X | H^{-j}(i_{x}^{!}\mathcal{F}) \neq 0\}$$

This can be obtained by Verdier duality

$$i_x^! \mathcal{F} \simeq i_x^! \mathbb{DDF} \simeq \mathbb{D}i_x^* \mathbb{DF}$$

Note that on $\{x\}$, the Verdier duality functor $\mathbb{D}_{\{x\}}$ is just the usual functor Hom(-, k). So above equivalences compute

$$H^{-j}(i_x^!\mathcal{F}) \simeq H^j(\mathbb{D}\mathcal{F})_x^\vee$$

Finally for $\mathcal{F} \in D^b_{\text{loc}}(X)$, Lemma 0.7 in Talk 5 or [1, Thm. 2.2.13] yields $i_x^! \mathcal{F} \simeq i_x^* \mathcal{F}[-2n]$. So if $\mathcal{F} \in D^{\geq -n}_{\text{locf}}(X)$, $i_x^! \mathcal{F} \in D^{\geq n}(\text{Vect}_k)$ for all $x \in X$. In this case for $-j \geq n$ such that $H^j(\mathbb{D}\mathcal{F})$ is a non-zero local system, we will have dim $\operatorname{supp} H^j(\mathbb{D}\mathcal{F}) = n \leq -j$ which means $\mathcal{F} \in {}^p D^{\geq 0}_{\text{locf}}(X)$.

On the other hand if $\mathcal{F} \in {}^{p}D^{\geq 0}_{locf}(X)$, we have

$$\dim\{x \in X | H^{-j}(i_x^! \mathcal{F}) \neq 0\} \le -j$$

Because if the support is non-empty then it will be *X* and has dimension *n*, for some *j* such that $H^j(\mathcal{F}) \neq 0$, we see $H^j(i_x^*\mathcal{F}) = H^j(i_x^!\mathcal{F}[2n]) = H^{j+2n}(i_x^!\mathcal{F}) \neq 0$. So that $n \leq j+2n \Rightarrow j \geq -n$. So that $\mathcal{F} \in D^{\geq -n}_{\text{locf}}(X)$.

Perverse Sheaves along Immersions The following lemma describes how perverse *t*-structures behave along open and closed embeddings.

Lemma 1.13. Let $j: U \hookrightarrow X$ be an open embedding and $i: Z \hookrightarrow X$ be a closed embedding.

- (1). $j^*({}^pD_c^{\leq 0}(X)) \subseteq {}^pD_c^{\leq 0}(U) \text{ and } j^*({}^pD_c^{\geq 0}(X)) \subseteq {}^pD_c^{\geq 0}(U)$
- (2). $j_!({}^pD_c^{\leq 0}(U)) \subseteq {}^pD_c^{\leq 0}(X)$
- (3). $j_*({}^pD_c^{\geq 0}(U)) \subseteq {}^pD_c^{\geq 0}(X)$
- (4). $i_*({}^pD_c^{\leq 0}(Z)) \subseteq {}^pD_c^{\leq 0}(X) \text{ and } i^*({}^pD_c^{\geq 0}(Z)) \subseteq {}^pD_c^{\geq 0}(X)$

(5).
$$i^*({}^pD_c^{\leq 0}(X)) \subseteq {}^pD_c^{\leq 0}(Z)$$

(6). $i!({}^{p}D_{c}^{\geq 0}(X)) \subseteq {}^{p}D_{c}^{\geq 0}(Z)$

Proof. We prove this lemma using Verdier duality discussed in Talk 8.

At first for (1), notice that the restriction functor i.e. j^* is *t*-exact for the usual *t*-structure and will not increase the dimension of the support. This means for $\mathcal{F} \in {}^p D_c^{\leq 0}(X)$,

$$\dim \operatorname{supp} H^i(j^*\mathcal{F}) = \dim \operatorname{supp} j^* H^i(\mathcal{F}) \leq \dim \operatorname{supp} H^i(\mathcal{F}) \leq -i$$

so $j^* \mathcal{F} \in {}^p D_c^{\leq 0}(U)$. Next since $j^! \simeq j^*$ for an open immersion j, j^* will commute with \mathbb{D} . Therefore for $\mathcal{G} \in {}^p D_c^{\geq 0}(X)$,

dim supp
$$H^{i}(\mathbb{D}(j^{*}\mathcal{G})) = \dim \operatorname{supp} H^{i}(j^{*}(\mathbb{D}\mathcal{G})) \leq \dim \operatorname{supp} H^{i}(\mathbb{D}\mathcal{G}) \leq -i$$

which means $j^* \mathcal{G} \in {}^p D_c^{\geq 0}(U)$.

For (2), the argument is similar to the above for j^* , because $j_!$ is *t*-exact as well and will not increase the dimension of the support by definition (see [1, Lem. 1.3.1]).

For (3), let $\mathcal{F} \in {}^{p}D_{c}^{\leq 0}(U)$. By Verdier duality, we have $\mathbb{D}(j_{*}\mathcal{F}) \simeq j_{!}(\mathbb{D}\mathcal{F})$. And $\mathbb{D}\mathcal{F} \in {}^{p}D_{c}^{\leq 0}(U)$ implies $j_{!}(\mathbb{D}\mathcal{F}) \in {}^{p}D_{c}^{\leq 0}(X)$ by part (2).

For (4), since *i* is a closed embedding, $i_* \simeq i_!$, the argument is the same as part (1). It says i_* is *t*-exact, will not increase the dimension of the support and commutes with \mathbb{D} .

For (5), it's similar to (2).

For (6), it's similar to (3).

The next lemma says a perverse sheaf is "locally" a shifted local system.

Lemma 1.14. Let X be a variety and $(X_s)_{s \in \mathscr{I}}$ be a stratification on X. For every $s \in \mathscr{I}$, $j_s : X_s \to X$ is the inclusion map. Let $\mathcal{F} \in D^b_c(X)$.

- (1). Suppose \mathcal{F} is constructible with respect to \mathscr{I} . We have $\mathcal{F} \in {}^{p}D_{c}^{\leq 0}(X)$ if and only if $j_{s}^{*}\mathcal{F} \in D_{locf}^{\leq -\dim X_{s}}(X_{s})$ for all $s \in \mathscr{I}$.
- (2). Suppose $\mathbb{D}\mathcal{F}$ is constructible with respect to \mathscr{I} . We have $\mathcal{F} \in {}^pD_c^{\geq 0}(X)$ if and only if $j_s^!\mathcal{F} \in D_{\mathrm{locf}}^{\geq -\dim X_s}(X_s)$ for all $s \in \mathscr{I}$.

Cohomology of Perverse Sheaves Let's look at cohomologies of a perverse sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Perv}(X)$ where X has dimension n. The fact $\mathcal{F} \in {}^{p}D_{c}^{\leq 0}(X)$ obviously implies $H^{i}(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all i > 0. Next since $\mathcal{F} \in {}^{p}D_{c}^{\geq 0}(X)$, for all $\mathcal{G} \in {}^{p}D_{c}^{\leq -1}(X)$ we will have $\text{Hom}_{D_{c}^{b}(X)}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}) = 0^{2}$. Note that $D_{c}^{\leq -n}(X) \subseteq {}^{p}D_{c}^{\leq 0}(X)$ and therefore $D_{c}^{\leq -n-1}(X) \subseteq {}^{p}D_{c}^{\leq -1}(X)$. Then for all $\mathcal{G} \in D_{c}^{\leq -n-1}(X)$, $\text{Hom}_{D_{c}^{b}(X)}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$. From [1, Lem. A.7.3] this means $\mathcal{F} \in D_{c}^{\geq -n}(X)$. So for all i < -n, $H^{i}(\mathcal{F}) = 0$.

A.7.3] this means $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^{\geq -n}(X)$. So for all i < -n, $H^i(\mathcal{F}) = 0$. As for $-n \leq i \leq 0$, $\mathcal{F} \in {}^p D_c^{\leq 0}(X)$ means dim supp $H^i(\mathcal{F}) \leq -i$. Therefore $H^0(\mathcal{F})$ is supported at most on points, $H^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$ on curves, $H^{-n+1}(\mathcal{F})$ on divisors and $H^{-n}(\mathcal{F})$ everywhere.

Example 1.15. Let $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}^{\times} \coprod \{0\}$ be a stratification for \mathbb{C} with inclusions $j : \mathbb{C}^{\times} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. In the Talk 2 (Example 1.27), we have computed the cohomology of $j_* \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{C}^{\times}}$, whose stable of stalks are as follows.

	{0}	$\mathbb{C}^{ imes}$
1	$\underline{\mathbb{C}}$	
0	$\underline{\mathbb{C}}$	$\underline{\mathbb{C}}$

So for $j_* \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{C}^{\times}}[1]$,

	{0}	$\mathbb{C}^{ imes}$
0	$\underline{\mathbb{C}}$	
-1	$\underline{\mathbb{C}}$	$\underline{\mathbb{C}}$

its 0-th cohomology is supported on the point 0 while its (-1)-th cohomology is supported everywhere. This diagram justifies the "lower triangularity" condition of perversity. Informally this means it will be a perverse sheaf. To see it formally, firstly it's clear the above computations imply $j_* \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{C}^{\times}}[1] \in {}^p D_c^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C})$ directly. Next since $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{C}^{\times}}[1]$ is a perverse sheaf by Proposition 1.12, $j_* \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{C}^{\times}}[1] \in {}^p D_c^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C})$ by (3) of Lemma 1.13.

Actually we can also compute $\mathbb{D}_{j_*}\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{C}^{\times}}[1]$ concretely. By Verdier duality we have

$$\mathbb{D}j_*\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{C}^{\times}}[1] = j_!\mathbb{D}\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{C}^{\times}}[1]$$

= $j_!\mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}om(\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{C}^{\times}}[1], \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{C}^{\times}}[2])$, since $\omega_{\mathbb{C}^{\times}} = \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{C}^{\times}}[2]$
= $j_!\mathcal{H}om(\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{C}^{\times}}[1], \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{C}^{\times}}[2])$
= $j_!\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{C}^{\times}}[1]$

Note that by definition $j_! \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{C}^{\times}}[1]$ will vanish at 0. So its table of stalks is

	{0}	$\mathbb{C}^{ imes}$
0		
-1		\mathbb{C}

 $\text{Then } \mathbb{D} j_*\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{C}^{\times}}[1] \in \ ^pD_c^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{C}) \Rightarrow j_*\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{C}^{\times}}[1] \in \ ^pD_c^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{C}).$

²The second condition of t-structure

References

[1] Pramod N. Achar. *Perverse Sheaves and Applications to Representation Theory*, volume 258. American Mathematical Soc., 2021.